



Chapter 6 - Job Work

Part A - ICAI TYK

- 1) Under what circumstances, can the principal directly supply goods from the premises of job worker without declaring the premises of job worker as his additional place of business?

Sol. The goods can be supplied directly from the place of business of job worker without declaring it as additional place of business in two circumstances namely (i) where the job worker is a registered taxable person or (ii) where the principal is engaged in supply of such goods as may be notified by the Commissioner.

- 2) What happens when the inputs or capital goods are not received back or supplied from the place of business of job worker within prescribed time period?

Sol. If the inputs or capital goods are not received back by the principal or are not supplied from the place of business of job worker within the prescribed time limit, it would be deemed that such inputs or capital goods had been supplied by the principal to the job worker on the day when the said inputs or capital goods were sent out by the principal (or on the date of receipt by the job worker where the inputs or capital goods were sent directly to the place of business of job worker). Thus, the principal would be liable to pay tax accordingly along with interest. Further, if the job worker is registered, when the processed goods are sent back by it to the principal, the same shall also be considered as a supply over and above the charges for job work.

- 3) Who is responsible for the maintenance of proper accounts related to job work?

Sol. It is completely the responsibility of the principal to maintain proper accounts of job work related inputs and capital goods.

- 4) Genie Engineers had a mould delivered directly to a job worker from the supplier for making certain precision parts for use in the factory of Genie Engineers. As per agreement, the mould was to remain with the job worker as long as work was being sent to him.

After four years a departmental audit team that visited the job worker noticed the mould and traced it to Genie Engineers. GST was demanded from Genie Engineers for taking ITC without receiving the mould and furthermore for not bringing the mould back after three years of delivery to the job worker. How should they respond to this?

Sol. Genie Engineers should reply on the following lines:

Under section 19(6), the principal may take ITC on capital goods sent to a job worker for job work without being first brought to his place of business.

The capital goods sent for job work should either be returned to the principal or must be supplied from the job worker's premises within 3 years [extendible by another 2 years] from sending them

to the job worker or direct receipt by the job worker from the supplier. If the above time-lines are not met, it is deemed that the capital goods were supplied by the principal to the job worker (in other words, tax will be payable on them) on the day they were sent out to the job worker [Section 19(6)].

However, sub-section (7) of section 19 provides that the time-limit of three years in sub-section (6) for bringing back the capital goods from the job worker does not apply to moulds.

Accordingly, Genie Engineers have correctly availed the ITC in respect of the moulds delivered to their job worker and not brought back even after completion of four years.

- 5) Sudama Industries Ltd., registered in the State of Jammu & Kashmir, manufactures plastic pipes for other suppliers on job-work basis.

On 10th January, Plasto Manufacturers (registered in the State of Himachal Pradesh) sent plastic worth ₹ 4 lakh and moulds worth ₹ 50,000, free of cost, to Sudama Industries Ltd. to make plastic pipes. Sudama Industries Ltd. also used its own material - a special type of lamination material for coating the pipes - worth ₹ 1 lakh in the manufacture of pipes. It raised an invoice of ₹ 2 lakh as job charges for making pipes and returned the manufactured pipes through delivery challan to Plasto Manufacturers on 20th October in the same financial year.

The same quality and quantity of plastic pipes, as was made for Plasto Manufacturers, were made by Sudama Industries Ltd. from its own raw material and sold to Solid Pipes (registered in Jammu and Kashmir) for ₹ 7.5 lakh on 20th October.

Examine the scenario and offer your views on the following issues with reference to the provisions relating to job work under the GST laws:

- a) Is there any difference between the manufacture of plastic pipes by Sudama Industries Ltd. for Plasto Manufacturers and for Solid Pipes?
- b) Whether Sudama Industries can use its own material even when it is manufacturing the plastic pipes on job-work basis?
- c) Whether sending the plastic and moulds to Sudama Industries Ltd. by Plasto Manufacturers is a supply and a taxable invoice needs to be issued for the same?
- d) Whether Sudama Industries should include the value of free of cost plastic and moulds supplied by Plasto Manufacturers in its job charges?

Sol:

- a) As per section 2(68), job work means any treatment or process undertaken by a person on goods belonging to another registered person and the expression "job worker" shall be construed accordingly. The registered person on whose goods (inputs or capital goods) job work is performed is called the principal. Thus, the job worker is expected to work on the goods sent by the principal.

Therefore, when the goods are manufactured by Sudama Industries Ltd. for Plasto Manufacturers, it is job work as the process is undertaken on inputs (plastic and moulds) supplied by the principal (Plasto Manufacturers). However, when goods are manufactured for Solid Pipes, it is manufacture by Sudama Industries Ltd on own account as the pipes are manufactured from their own raw material. Further, processing or treatment on job work basis is a supply of service in terms of para 3 of Schedule II to the CGST Act, 2017 and manufacture and selling of pipes on own account is a supply of goods.

- b) It has been clarified vide Circular No. 38/12/2018 GST dated 26.03.2018 that the job worker, in addition to the goods received from the principal, can use his own goods for providing the services of job work.
- c) Section 143 provides that the registered principal may, without payment of tax, send inputs or capital goods to a job worker for job work. Subsequently, on completion of the job work, the principal shall either bring back the goods to his place of business or supply (including export) the same directly from the place of business/ premises of the job worker within one year in case of inputs or within three years in case of capital goods (except moulds and dies, jigs and fixtures or tools). Thus, the provision relating to return of goods is not applicable in case of moulds, dies, jigs, fixtures and tools.

If the time frame of one year/ three years for bringing back or further supplying the inputs/ capital goods is not adhered to, the activity of sending the goods for job work shall be deemed to be a supply by the principal on the day when the said inputs/ capital goods were sent out by him. Thus, essentially, sending goods for job work is not a supply as such, but it acquires the character of supply only when the inputs/ capital goods sent for job work are neither received back by the principal nor supplied further by the principal from the place of business/ premises of the job worker within one/ three years of being sent out.

Therefore, the activity of sending of plastic and moulds by Plasto Manufacturers to Sudama Industries Ltd. (job worker) is not supply as the manufactured pipes are received back within the stipulated time and the provisions relating to return of goods are not applicable in case of moulds.

Rule 45 provides that the inputs, semi-finished goods or capital goods being sent for job work shall be sent under the cover of a delivery challan issued by the principal.

Therefore, Plasto Manufacturers need not issue a taxable invoice for sending the inputs to Sudama Industries Ltd. but should send the inputs under the cover of a challan.

- d) As per section 15(2)(b), any amount that the supplier is liable to pay in relation to such supply but which has been incurred by the recipient of the supply and not included in the price actually paid or payable for the goods or services or both, is includible in the value of supply. However, Sudama Industries Ltd. should not include the value of free of cost plastic and moulds supplied by Plasto Manufacturers in its job charges as Sudama Industries Ltd. is manufacturing the

plastic pipes on job work basis. The scope of supply of Sudama Industries Ltd. is to manufacture plastic pipes from the raw material supplied by the Plasto Manufacturers. Thus, at no point of time was Sudama Industries Ltd. (supplier of job work service) is liable to pay for the raw material and therefore, the value thereof should not be included in its job charges even though the same has been incurred by Plasto Manufacturers (recipient of job work service).

- 6) Alok Pvt. Ltd., a registered manufacturer, sent steel cabinets worth ₹ 50 lakh under a delivery challan to M/s Prem Tools, a registered job worker, for job work on 28th January. The scope of job work included mounting the steel cabinets on a metal frame and sending the mounted panels back to Alok Pvt. Ltd. The metal frame is to be supplied by M/s Prem Tools. M/s Prem Tools has agreed to a consideration of ₹ 5 lakh for the entire mounting activity including the supply of metal frame. During the course of mounting activity, metal waste is generated which is sold by M/s. Prem Tools for ₹ 45,000. M/s Prem Tools sent the steel cabinets mounted on the metal frame to Alok Pvt. Ltd. on 3rd December in the same financial year.

Assuming GST rate for metal frame as 28%, for metal waste as 12% and standard rate for services as 18%, you are required to compute the GST liability of M/s Prem Tools. Also, give reason(s) for inclusion or exclusion of the value of cabinets in the job charges for the purpose of payment of GST by M/s Prem Tools.

Sol: As per para 3 of Schedule II to the CGST Act, any treatment or process which is applied to another person's goods is a supply of services and accordingly is subject to GST rate applicable for services.

In the given case, M/s Prem Tools (job worker) undertakes the process of mounting the steel cabinets of Alok Pvt. Ltd. (principal) on metal frames. In view of para 3 of Schedule II to the CGST Act cited above, the mounting activity classifies as a service even though the metal frames are also supplied as a part of the mounting activity. Accordingly, the job charges will be chargeable to GST at a rate of 18%, which is the applicable rate for services.

Further, the value of steel cabinets will not be included in the value of taxable supply made by M/s Prem Tools as the supply of cabinets does not fall within the scope of supply to be made by M/s Prem Tools. M/s Prem Tools is only required to mount the steel cabinets, which are to be supplied by Alok Pvt. Ltd., on metal frames, which are to be supplied by it.

As regards sale of waste generated during the job work, since M/s Prem Tools is registered, the tax leviable on the supply will have to be paid by it in terms of section 143(5). Such supply will be treated as supply of goods and subject to GST rate applicable for metal waste.

Accordingly, the GST liability of M/s Prem Tools will be computed as under:

Particulars	Amount (rs.)
Job charges	5,00,000

GST @ 18% (A)	90,000
Sale of metal waste	45,000
GST @ 12% (B)	5,400
Total GST payable (A) + (B)	95,400

- 7) Bedi Manufacturers, a registered person, instructs its supplier to send the capital goods directly to Rajesh Enterprises, who is a job worker, outside its factory premises for carrying out certain operations on the goods. The goods were sent by the supplier on 10th April and were received by the job worker on 15th April. Rajesh Enterprises carried out the job work, but did not return the capital goods to their principal - Bedi Manufacturers. Discuss whether Bedi Manufacturers are eligible to retain the input tax credit availed by them on the capital goods. What action under the GST Act is required to be taken by Bedi Manufacturers.

What would be your answer if in place of capital goods, jigs and fixtures are supplied to the job worker and the same has not been returned to the principal?

Sol: As per section 19(5), the principal is entitled to take input tax credit of capital goods sent for job work even if the said goods are directly sent to job worker.

Further, section 19(6) stipulates that where the capital goods sent directly to a job worker are not received back by the principal within a period of 3 years of the date of receipt of capital goods by the job worker, it shall be deemed that such capital goods had been supplied by the principal to the job worker on the day when the said capital goods were received by the job worker.

In view of aforementioned provisions, Bedi Manufacturers are eligible to retain the input tax credit availed by them on the capital goods.

However, if the capital goods are not returned by Rajesh Enterprises within 3 years from 15th April (date of receipt of capital goods by job worker), it shall be deemed that such capital goods had been supplied by Bedi Manufacturers to Rajesh Enterprises on 15th April and Bedi Manufacturers shall be liable to pay the tax along with applicable interest.

However, there is no time limit for return of moulds and dies, jigs and fixtures or tools sent out to a job worker for job work [Section 19(7)].

However, if Rajesh Enterprises does not return the jigs and fixtures to Bedi Manufacturers, it shall not be considered as a supply of jigs and fixtures to Rajesh Enterprises by Bedi Manufacturers. In this case also, Bedi Manufacturers will be eligible to retain the input tax credit availed by them.

- 8) Nandeeshwar Manufacturers, a registered person, sends certain category of yarn for processing to the job worker in January. The job worker undertakes the processing work on the yarn as per the requirement of Nandeeshwar Manufacturers. During the process, the job worker uses his own

material also. The processed yarn is sold by Nandeeshwar Manufacturers directly from the job worker's premises in the month of March. The balance quantity of yarn and waste material is sent back by the job worker to Nandeeshwar Manufacturers in April.

The accountant of job worker is of the opinion that since the job worker is using his own material also in the processing, the supply being made by it to Nandeeshwar Manufacturers is in the nature of supply of goods as well as services.

Do you agree with the opinion of accountant of the job worker?

Sol: No, the opinion of the accountant of the job worker is not correct. Section 7(1A) provides that when certain activities or transactions constitute a supply in accordance with the provisions of section 7(1), they shall be treated either as a supply of goods or supply of services as referred to in Schedule II. Any processing activity carried on any other person's goods is treated as supply of service in terms of Schedule II. Circular No. 38/12/2018 GST dated 26.03.2018 has also clarified that the job worker, in addition to the goods received from the principal, can use his own goods for providing the services of job work. These goods are not supply per se, but are being used in the processing activity carried out by it.

Thus, the activity undertaken by the job worker, in the given case, squarely falls within the purview of Schedule II and shall be considered as supply of service by the job worker to Nandeeshwar Manufacturers.

=====